

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/511,442	10/25/2004	Takashi Shibanuma	040550	2402
23850	7590 09/22/2006		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			COONEY, JOHN M	
1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHINGTON, DC 20006		1711	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_
_
D
//
v
-

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/511,442	SHIBANUMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John m. Cooney	1711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ju	<u>ly 2006</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,3,5,6,8,10-13,15-17 and 19-21 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,3,5,6,8,10-13,15-17 and 19-21 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 6,8 and 21 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Palent and Trademark Office.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/511,442 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7-6-06 has been entered.

### Claim Objections

Claims 6, 8, and 21 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 6 – As claim 1 is limited to a Markush group of specifically listed compounds. If claim 6 further narrows applicants' claimed invention then applicants need to show which members of the Markush group of claim 1 are excluded by the narrower range of thermal conductivity values of claim 6. Claim 8 – It is not seen how the additional Markush group of claim 8 further narrows claim 1. Claim 21 – It is not seen how the recitation of claim 21 further narrows claim 17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6, 8, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants' claims are confusing as to intent because it can not be determined what narrowing limitations are intended by the recitations of these claims (see objection above).

Claims 3, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims are confusing as to intent because it can not be determined whether an additional compound or limitation is intended. Applicants need to insert the term "further" before the term "comprising" in these claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1711

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,3,5,6,8,10-13,15-17, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by EP 1,219,674.

EP 1,219,674 discloses blowing agents, polyol premixes, and the preparation of polyurethane foamed products prepared from agents, reactants, and additives as claimed (see the entire document with note also of page 3 lines 25, 35, and 36).

Applicants' arguments have been considered but rejection is maintained for the reasons set forth above. Reference is maintained to disclose the products and processes as defined by the claims as indicated in the rejections above. The reference discloses mixing the respective materials of applicants' claims, and the process operations as claimed do not require operations beyond formation of the premixes and foam products as described by EP 1,219,674. Additionally, applicants' blowing agents do not exclude the presence of polyols in their composition.

Applicants' affidavit has been considered but is unpersuasive of patentability as the processes as claimed are not seen to distinguish over the mixing and reacting methods disclosed by EP 1,219,674.

Art Unit: 1711

Applicants claims as they currently stand do not distinguish over the inclusion of the amide compounds of EP-1,219,674, and the further inclusion of ethylene glycol as a crosslinking agent meets the further included ethylene glycol compound of applicants' dependent claims 3,15, and 17. Further, applicants' claims do not distinguish over EP-1,219,674 upon entrainment of the mixtures for reaction.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1,3,5,6,8,10-13,15-17, and 19-21 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-46 of copending Application No. 10/493,215. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of Application No. 10/493,215 disclose blowing agents, premixes for preparing foamed products utilizing blends of HFC's in overlap with members of the instantly claimed HFC and other agents as claimed. Accordingly, it would have been obvious for

Art Unit: 1711

one having ordinary skill in the art to have employed any combination of all of the these blowing agent materials for the purpose of imparting their blowing effect in the preparations of the claims of Application No. 10/493,215 in order to arrive at the products instantly claimed with the expectation of success in the absence of a showing of new or unexpected results.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Applicants' comments with regard to the above provisional rejection are noted, and rejection is maintained. Applicants' position to defer address of the above provisional rejection until provisional status changes is acceptable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Cooney whose telephone number is 571-272-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER